

Amendments to permitted development rights

Details

Q1. Details

Name

██████████

Organisation

N/A

Preferred contact details (Email address, phone number or address)

██

Q2. Type (please select one from the following)

Responding in a private capacity

Q3. Responses to consultations may be made public. To keep your response anonymous (including email addresses) tick the box.

Keep my response anonymous

Questions

Q4. Q1. Should the additional days granted by Class A of Part 4A be retained permanently, permitting temporary uses to take place for up to 56 days (28 days for specified uses) in a calendar year?

No

Comments:

the ara is becoming overwhelmd with tourists who have little or no respect for people who live in the village

Q5. Q2. Do you have any evidence as to any benefits and impacts as a result of introducing the additional number of days for temporary uses to take place since April? If yes, please specify.

Yes

Comments:

with no litter, rubbish bins on the campsite people are using local bins to place their rubbish in or leaving bags of rubbish on the roadside, this is unacceptable when the local population pays council taxes.

with only 2 toilets on the site we have seen evidence of human excrement and used toilet paper being left on other people's land which is a health hazard for everyone, especially children.

noise levels are unacceptable late at night and in particular when visitors to the campsite come back from the local pub, they seem to think they still live in their home towns.

the damage to riverbank near the campsite is causing concern because these visitors seem to think it is ok to move stepping stones etc.. when they have been around for centuries

Q6. Q3. Do you have views on whether there should be additional restrictions on the use of this PDR to mitigate against potential impacts of making this permanent? If yes, please specify.

Yes

Comments:

to reduce overuse of the road leading to the campsite, clear notices on noise, rubbish and human waste, bilingual signage, English only signage is an insult

Q7. Q4. Should the number of days for holding a market generally be extended? If Yes, what is an acceptable number of days for holding a market? What conditions should apply to manage the planning impacts?

Other

Comments:

no particular views on this

Q8. Q5. Should any additional days over the permitted 14 days be provided for markets operated by or on behalf of a local authority?

Other

Comments:

N/A

Q9. Q6. Do you agree the permitted changes of use within town centres should become permanent? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

Other

Comments:

N/A

Q10. Q7. Do you agree the permitted development right for the use of the highway adjacent to a hospitality use for that purpose should be made permanent? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

No

Comments:

the road is unsuitable for permanent use and not suitable for extending its width, there are ancient oak trees along its length

Q11. Q8. If you answered yes to Q7, are any additional conditions required to mitigate potential amenity impacts?

No Response

Q12. Q9. Do you agree the permitted development right for the installation of awnings at hospitality uses should be made permanent? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

No

Comments:

we live in a national park not a holiday camp

Q13. Q10. Do you have any comments regarding Part 3A?

No

Q14. Q11. Do you have any comments regarding Part 12A?

No

Q15. Q12. Do you agree that HMOs should not benefit from permitted development rights for alterations and extensions to a dwellinghouse granted by Part 1 of the GPDO? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

Yes

Comments:

AirBnB's are a blight on villages, paying less for amenities and preventing local people from renting or buying houses

Q16. Q13. Do you agree with the proposed alterations to Class F? If not, please suggest alternative approaches, restrictions or thresholds that could be adopted.

No Response

Q17. Q14. Do you agree greater flexibility should be provided through permitted development rights to accelerate the rollout of electric vehicle charging infrastructure? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

No Response

Q18. Q15. Do you agree with reintroducing permitted development rights for the protection of poultry and other captive birds?

No Response

Q19. Q16. Do you agree with the proposals for amending Article 4 directions?

No Response

Q20. Q17. We would like to know your views on the effects of the proposals would have on the Welsh language, specifically on opportunities for people to use Welsh and on treating the Welsh language no less favourably than English.

What effects do you think there would be? How could positive effects be increased, or negative effects be mitigated?

almost all proposals impact on the Welsh language , the sale of houses in villages to become holiday homes is exacerbating this and there does not seem to be any steps to mitigate against this. while many English and other visitors do understand that Welsh is a living language in Wales most don't even know they are in a different country which raises questions about the teaching of history in the UK and acknowledging the fact that England colonised Wales for its mineral wealth amongst other things.

Q21. 18. We have asked a number of specific consultation questions. If you have any related issues which we have not specifically addressed, please use the space below to raise them.

No Response

Submit your response

Q22. If you want to receive a receipt of your response, please provide an email address.

Email address

No Response